

Some preliminaries:

Def Let \mathcal{D} be an ∞ -cat. \mathcal{D} is differentiable if

- a) \mathcal{D} has finite limits
- b) \mathcal{D} has sequential colimits
- c) the functor $\lim_{\rightarrow}: \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$

is left-exact. Read: seq. colimits commute wr finite limits.

Examples: • Any ∞ -topos (so spaces)

- Any stable ∞ -cat (so spectra)

Def An ∞ -cat will be called good if it has finite colimits & a terminal object.

Thm If \mathcal{C} is good & \mathcal{D} is diffil, then the inclusion functor

$$\text{Exc}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

of n -excisive functors admits a left-exact left-adjoint P_n .

Chain Rule: If $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ is a functor between diffil ∞ -cats & G preserves finite limits & seq colims, then $\forall F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$

$$(G \circ F)_* P_n(G \circ F) \cong G_* P_n(F). \quad \star \quad \langle \text{squeeze in other chain rule} \rangle$$

go straight to multi,

Def A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is n -reduced if $P_{n+1}(F)$ is a final object of $\text{Exc}^{n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

F is n -homogeneous if it is n -excisive & n -reduced.

Denote the corresponding ∞ -cat by $\text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$

\downarrow
closed under sequential colimits.

Notice: $\text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ also contains the terminal functor.

\Rightarrow if \mathcal{D} is pointed, so is $\text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

Prop (Cor 7.1.2.8)

2.

Let \mathcal{C} be good & \mathbb{S} pointed, and $n \geq 1$. Then $\text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{S})$ is stable.

Rmk Let $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{S}) = \text{Exc}_*(\mathbb{S}_+^{\text{fin}}, \mathbb{S}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{S}_+^{\text{fin}}, \mathbb{S})$

be the stabilization of \mathbb{S} . $\forall K \in \mathbb{S}_+^{\text{fin}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_K: \text{Sp}(\mathbb{S}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{S} \\ X &\longmapsto X(K) \end{aligned}$$

Note $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{S})$ is closed under finite limits and colimits in $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{S}_+^{\text{fin}}, \mathbb{S})$

$\Rightarrow \text{ev}_K$ preserves finite limits & seq. colims

$\forall F: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathbb{S})$,

$$P_n(e_K \circ F) \cong e_K \circ P_n(F)$$

$\Rightarrow F$ is n -excisive \Leftrightarrow each $e_K \circ F$ is

" " n -reduced \Leftrightarrow " "

stability!

$$\Rightarrow \text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \text{Sp}(\mathbb{S})) \cong \text{Sp}(\text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{S})) \cong \text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{S})$$

Multivariable Calculus

Def Let C_1, \dots, C_m be ∞ -cats w/ pushouts & let \mathbb{S} have finite limits.

Suppose $\vec{n} = [n_1, \dots, n_m]$ is a seq. of non-negative integers.

A functor $F: \prod_j C_j \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is \vec{n} -excisive if

\bullet $\forall 1 \leq i \leq m$ & $\{X_j \in C_j\}_{j \neq i}$, the functor

$$C_i \xrightarrow{\sim} C_i \times_{\prod_{j \neq i} \{X_j\}} \prod_{j \neq i} \{X_j\} \hookrightarrow \prod_j C_j \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{S}$$

is n_i -excisive.

Similarly for \vec{n} -reduced & \vec{n} -homogeneous.

5.

F is simply called excisive if it is $(1, \dots, 1)$ -excisive.

Similarly for reduced.

If F is reduced & excisive, it is called multilinear.

Rmk: F is reduced $\Leftrightarrow \forall \vec{X}$ s.t. are X_i terminal, $F(\vec{X}) = 1$.
Let $\text{Exc}^{\vec{n}}(C_1, \dots, C_n, \mathcal{S}) \subset \text{Fun}(\prod_{j \geq 1} C_j, \mathcal{S})$ be the \vec{n} -excisive functors.

Rmk: $\text{Exc}^{\vec{n}}(C_1, \dots, C_n, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{i_{\vec{n}}} \text{Fun}(C_1 \times \prod_{j \geq 1} C_j, \mathcal{S})$

$$\downarrow s \quad \downarrow s$$

$\text{Exc}^{n_1}(C_1, \text{Exc}^{\vec{n}'}(C_2, \dots, C_m, \mathcal{S})) \subset \text{Fun}(C_1, \text{Fun}(\prod_{j \geq 2} C_j, \mathcal{S}))$

Where $\vec{n}' = (n_2, \dots, n_m)$.

Easy corollary: $i_{\vec{n}}$ admits a left-exact left-adjoint $P_{\vec{n}}$,

defined by induction on m by $P_{\vec{n}} = (P_{\vec{n}'}^*)^* \circ P_{n_1}$.

Prop (7.1.3.4) (Time-permitting will give proof later) \rightsquigarrow If $\vec{F}: \mathcal{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1$
 $\vec{n}\text{-exc} \Rightarrow F \circ \Delta$ is
 $n\text{-exc}$.

Let C_1, \dots, C_m have finite colimits, and \mathcal{S} finite limits.

If $F: \prod_j C_j \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is \vec{n} -excisive, then as

a functor of one variable, F is $n := \sum n_i$ -excisive.

There is also a partial converse:

Prop If additionally, each C_i is good, and F is
reduced (in each variable), and F is m -excisive as a functor
of one-variable, then it is $(1, \dots, 1)$ -excisive.

(7.1.3.13)

Cor: (7.1.3.14)

Let C_1, \dots, C_m be good, \mathcal{D} diff'l, and
 $F: \prod_j C_j \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ reduced (in each variable). Then

$$P_m(F) \simeq P_{1, \dots, 1}(F).$$

factor of one-variable

Pf: $P_{1, \dots, 1}(F)$ is m -excisive, so the unit map

$F \rightarrow P_{1, \dots, 1}(F)$ factors uniquely as

$$F \rightarrow P_m(F) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_{1, \dots, 1}(F).$$

Fix $1 \leq i \leq m$ and let $E_i \subset \prod_j C_j$ be spanned by \times s.c.

$X_i = 1_i \circ j_i: E_i \hookrightarrow \prod_j C_j$ preserves pushouts + terminal objects
 $\Rightarrow P_m(j_i^* F) \simeq j_i^*(P_m(F))$. (read $P_m(F \circ j_i) \simeq P_m(F) \circ j_i$)

F is reduced $\Rightarrow j_i^* F$ is terminal \Rightarrow so is $P_m(j_i^* F)$.

$\Rightarrow P_m(F)$ is reduced & m -excisive, $\therefore (1, \dots, 1)$ -excisive.

So the unit map $F \rightarrow P_m(F)$ factors uniquely as

$$F \rightarrow P_{1, \dots, 1}(F) \xrightarrow{\beta} P_m(F).$$

By uniqueness, α & β are homotopy inverses.

Prop: Let C_1, \dots, C_m be good & \mathcal{D} diff'l, and

$F: \prod_j C_j \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ $(1, \dots, 1)$ -reduced. Then F is m -reduced.

(7.1.3.10).

Construction (7.1.3, 15):

Suppose C_1, \dots, C_m have terminal objects & let \mathcal{D} be pfd & have finite limits. Let $S = [m]$ and define $\alpha: \prod_i C_i \times P(S) \rightarrow \prod_i C_i$

$$\text{by } \alpha(\vec{x}, T)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \notin T \\ 1_i & \text{if } i \in T \end{cases}.$$

If $T' \subset T$:

- if $i \in T'$ or $i \notin T$, then $\alpha(\vec{x}, T')_i = \alpha(\vec{x}, T)_i$
- if $i \in T \setminus T'$, $\alpha(\vec{x}, T')_i = x_i \xrightarrow{i} 1_i = \alpha(\vec{x}, T)_i$

Let $F: C_1 \times \dots \times C_m \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and let $\bar{F}_i := F \circ \alpha$ and $\bar{F}^T := F \circ \alpha(\circ, T)$.

Define $\text{Red}(F) := \text{fib}(F = F^\phi \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\phi \neq T \subseteq S} F^T)$.

$\text{Red}(F)$ is called the reduction of F .

Prop $\text{Red}(F)$ is reduced & the functor (Prop 7.1.3, 17 & Cor 18)

$\text{Red}: \text{Fun}(\prod_i C_i, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_*(\prod_i C_i, \mathcal{D})$ is right adjoint

to the inclusion.

Pf $\text{Red}(F)$ is reduced:

WTS $\forall \vec{x} \text{ s.t. } x_j = 1_j \text{ for some } j, \text{ Red}(F)(\vec{x}) = 0$.

Note: $\forall T \subseteq S$, the canonical map

(*) $F^T(\vec{x}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{F}^{T \cup \{j\}}(\vec{x})$ is an eq'l.

Let $P_{\{j\}}(S) = \{j\} \subseteq T \subseteq S\} \xrightleftharpoons[T \cup \{j\}]{} P_0(S)$

$$r(T) = T \cup \{j\} \xrightarrow{l} l - r(\{j\} \subseteq S \subseteq P_0(S)) \xrightarrow{u} P_0(S).$$

Let $F \alpha_{\vec{x}} = F \circ \alpha(\circ, \vec{x})$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varprojlim_{P_0(S)} r^*(l^* F_{\alpha_{\vec{X}}}) \simeq \varprojlim_{P_{S, S}(S)} l^* F_{\alpha_{\vec{X}}} \\ \end{array} \right\} \quad (l+r)$$

(*) IS

$$\varprojlim_{\phi \in T \subseteq S} F^T$$

$$(\text{Ran}_{id}^{\text{IS}} l^* F_{\alpha_{\vec{X}}})(\xi_j)$$

$$(l^* F_{\alpha_{\vec{X}}})(\xi_j) \simeq \bar{F}^{\xi_j}(\vec{X})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Red}(F)(\vec{X}) = \text{fib}(\underbrace{F^{\phi}(\vec{X}) \rightarrow \bar{F}^{\xi_j}(\vec{X})}_{\text{eq'l by (*)}}) = 0.$$

Prove the rest if time.

Rmk: (7.1.3.19)

Suppose e_1, \dots, e_m are good and \mathcal{D} is pointed. Let $e = \prod e_j$.

$\forall n, P_n: \text{Fun}(e, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(e, \mathcal{D})$ are left-exact \Rightarrow

$$\forall F: e \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

$$P_n(\text{Red}(F)) \simeq \text{Red}(P_n(F)).$$

Assume now $n=m$, then $\text{Red}(F)$ reduced \Rightarrow

$$P_{n, \dots, n}(\text{Red}(F)) \simeq P_m(\text{Red}(F)) \simeq \text{Red}(P_m(F)).$$

Construction (7.1.3.20):

Let e be good & \mathcal{D} be pfd & w/ finite limits.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } q: e^n &\longrightarrow e \\ \vec{x} &\longmapsto \prod_i x_i \end{aligned}$$

$\forall F: e \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, define $C_{n, n}(F) := \text{Red}(F \circ q)$.

$C_{n, n}(F)$ is the n^{th} -cross effect of F .

Prop: Let \mathcal{C} be good & let \mathcal{D} be pfd & diff'l,
 and let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be n -excisive. Then $\forall m \leq n+1$,
 the cross-effect $CR_m(F): \mathcal{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is
 $(n-m+1, \dots, n-m+1)$ -excisive.

Cor F as above $\Rightarrow CR_{n+1}(F)$ is terminal.

Rmk (7.1.3.23)

Let \mathcal{C} be good & \mathcal{D} pfd & diff'l, and $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

$$\begin{aligned} C P_{(1, \dots, 1)}(CR_n(F)) &= P_{(1, \dots, 1)}(\text{Red}(F \circ g)) \\ &\simeq \text{Red}(P_n(F \circ g)) \\ &\simeq \text{Red}(P_n(F) \circ g) = CR_n(P_n(F)). \end{aligned}$$

Note CR_n is left-exact since \mathcal{C}^* is \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} CR_n(D_n(F)) &= CR_n(\text{fib}(P_n(F) \rightarrow P_{n-1}(F))) \\ &\simeq \text{fib}(CR_n(P_n(F)) \rightarrow \underbrace{CR_n(P_{n-1}(F))}_{\text{terminal}}) \\ &\simeq CR_n(P_n(F)) \simeq P_{(1, \dots, 1)}(CR_n(F)). \end{aligned}$$

Symmetric Functors

Recall that for any (discrete) group G , we have

$$EG : BG \xrightarrow{\sim_{\text{cat}}} \text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$$

with $\lim_{\rightarrow} EG = N(BG) (= BG)$

Given $K \in \text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$, the canonical $\Sigma_n \otimes K^n \hookrightarrow \tilde{K}^n \boxtimes \Sigma_n \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$

Define $K^{(n)} := K^n \times \tilde{K}^n \Sigma_n / \Sigma_n = \tilde{K}^n \otimes E\Sigma_n \in \text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$.

This is a homotopy colimit in $(\text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}, \text{Joyal})$ (& since Σ_n)

(Ed. $(\text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}, \text{Joyal}) \rightarrow (\text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}, \text{Quillen})$ is left-Quillen, also in $(\text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}, \text{Quillen})$).

If C is an ∞ -cat, so is $C^{(n)}$, and if $\tilde{C}^n : B\Sigma_n \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Cat}}_\infty$ encodes $\Sigma_n \otimes C^n$, $C^{(n)} \simeq \varinjlim \tilde{C}^n \rightarrow B\Sigma_n \otimes \tilde{C}^n \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \tilde{C}^n \xrightarrow{\theta} C^{(n)}$.

Def $C^{(n)}$ is the n^{th} -extended power of C . If \mathcal{D} is another ∞ -cat, a symmetric n -ary functor from C to \mathcal{D} is a functor $C^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Denote this ∞ -cat by

$$\text{SymFun}^n(C, \mathcal{D}) := \text{Fun}(C^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note } \text{Fun}(C^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_\infty}(\varinjlim(B\Sigma_n \otimes C^n \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} C^n), \mathcal{D}) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim(\text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_\infty}(B\Sigma_n \otimes C^n, \mathcal{D}) \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_1} \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_\infty}(C^n, \mathcal{D})) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim(\text{Fun}(B\Sigma_n, \text{Fun}(C^n, \mathcal{D})) \subseteq \text{Fun}(C^n, \mathcal{D})) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(C^n, \mathcal{D})^{\Sigma_n} = \text{homotopy invariants} \end{aligned}$$

So, a functor $F : C^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the same as a functor \mathcal{D} from $C^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ equipped with equivalences $(G \dashv \Theta^* F)$:

$$G(\pi) : G(x_1, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \quad \forall \pi \in \Sigma_n$$

+ homotopy coherent equivalences

$$G(\text{co}\Pi) \rightarrow G(\Pi) \circ G(\sigma).$$

Example: If \mathcal{C} is symmetric monoidal, then

$$\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$$

extends to a symmetric n -ary functor in a canonical way.

A symmetric n -ary functor $F: \mathcal{C}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is reduced

if $\Theta^* F$ is. Denote this Θ^* -cat by $\text{Sym}_{*}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{“} & \text{“} & \text{“} \\ \text{“} & \text{“} & \text{“} \\ \text{“} & \text{“} & \text{“} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{multilinear} \\ \text{SymFun}_{\text{lin}}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}). \end{array}$$

Rmk

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SymFun}_{*}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightleftharpoons[\exists \text{ Red(sym)}]{\perp} & \text{SymFun}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) \\ \Theta^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Theta^* \\ \text{Fun}_{*}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Red}]{\perp} & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^n, \mathcal{S}) \end{array}$$

Def: (Assume \mathcal{C} has finite coproducts and a terminal object & \mathcal{S} is ptd & has finite limits) Then (\mathcal{C}, \amalg) is symmetric monoidal $\Rightarrow \amalg: \mathcal{C}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is symmetric.

The n^{th} -symmetric cross effect of F is

$$CR_{(n)}(F) := \text{Red}_{(\text{sym})}(F \circ \amalg) \in \text{SymFun}_{*}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$$

Note By the rmk, $\Theta^* CR_{(n)}(F) \simeq CR_n(F)$

Main Thm:

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed good ∞ -category and \mathcal{D} a pointed differentiable ∞ -category. Then symmetric-cross effects induce a functor

$$\text{cr}_{\mathcal{C}^n} : \text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \text{SymFun}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}),$$

$\text{cr}_{\mathcal{C}^n}$ is full & faithful and its essential image is $\text{SymFun}_{\text{lin}}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

To prove this we need

Lemma: In the situation above, $\text{cr}_{\mathcal{C}^n}$ is conservative (i.e. reflects equivalences). (7.1.4.10).

Lets assume this.

PF of thm:

Let $\Pi : \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. One can show that Π admits left Kan extensions so the functor

$$\Pi^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^n, \mathcal{D}) = \text{SymFun}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

has a left-adjoint $\Pi_! : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Lan}_{\Pi} \mathcal{D}$.

Concretely, given $\varphi \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^n, \mathcal{D})$, we have $\varphi^* \theta^* \varphi \simeq \text{pr}^* \theta^* \varphi$
 $(B\Sigma_n \times \mathcal{C}^n \xrightarrow[\text{pr}]{\theta \xrightarrow{\text{action}}} \mathcal{C}^n)$ The composite $\mathcal{C} \times B\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\text{id}}} \mathcal{C}^n \times B\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{\theta^* \varphi} \mathcal{D}$
 $\hookrightarrow B\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{X_{\varphi}} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ and $\Pi_!(\varphi) \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow}^{!!} X_{\varphi}$.
 $\# \longmapsto \theta^* \varphi \circ \Delta$ $X \mapsto \varphi(X)_{\Sigma_n}$

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xleftarrow[\perp\!\!\!\perp^*]{\perp} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}) \xleftarrow[i]{\perp} \text{Fun}_+(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}, \mathcal{D}) = \text{Sym} \text{Fun}_{\text{lin}}^n$$

Red_(sym)

$$\Rightarrow i^*\perp\!\!\!\perp_1 := L \dashv \text{Red}_{(\text{sym})} \circ \perp\!\!\!\perp^* = CR_{(n)}.$$

Now suppose $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is n -homogeneous.

Then $\Theta^* CR_{(n)} F = CR_n F = \text{Red}(\perp\!\!\!\perp^* F)$ is $(1, \dots, 1)$ -reduced,
and we also know F n -excisive $\Rightarrow CR_n(F)$ is $(1, \dots, 1)$ -excisive
 $\Rightarrow CR_{(n)}(F) \in \text{Sym} \text{Fun}_{\text{lin}}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$

Observation: Suffices to assure \mathcal{D} is stable since!

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sp}(\text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \cong \text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \text{Sp}(\mathcal{D})) & \xrightarrow{CR_{(n)}} & \text{Sym} \text{Fun}_{\text{lin}}^n(\mathcal{C}, \text{Sp}(\mathcal{D})) \\ \downarrow & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \hookrightarrow \text{stable} \\ \text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{CR_{(n)}} & \text{Sym} \text{Fun}_{\text{lin}}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

Now assume \mathcal{D} is stable:

$$\Rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\text{P}_n} \text{Exc}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

$\Rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is stable. $\Rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\text{P}_n} \text{Exc}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ preserves all countable colimits,
is right-exact. $\text{P}_n \circ \text{P}_0$ preserves seq. colims \Rightarrow preserves all countable colimits.

Also, n -reduced functors are \mathbb{F} -stable under countable colimits $\mathbb{F} = 0$.

$\Rightarrow \text{Homog}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is stable under countable colimits.

Now let $\varphi \in \text{Sym} \text{Fun}_{\text{lin}}^n(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \Rightarrow \Theta^* \varphi$ is $(1, \dots, 1)$ -homogeneous

$\Rightarrow \Theta^* \varphi \circ \Delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is n -homogeneous

$X_\varphi(*), * \in B\Sigma_n$ is the base point.

$\Rightarrow L(\varphi) = \varinjlim X_\varphi$ is n -homogeneous.

So by abuse of notation we have an adjunction

$$\text{Homog}^n(C, \mathcal{S}) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{CR}_{Cn}]^L \text{SymFun}_{\text{lin}}^{\wedge}(C, \mathcal{S}).$$

To show it's an eq'l, it suffices to show that the unit & co-unit are eq'l's.

Suppose for a moment that one can show the unit

$$\eta: \text{id} \rightarrow \text{CR}_{Cn} \circ L$$
 is an eq'l.

Since $L \dashv \text{CR}_{Cn} \Rightarrow \forall F \in \text{Homog}^n(C, \mathcal{S}),$

$$\text{CR}_{Cn}(E_F) \circ \eta_{CnF} \cong \text{id}_{CnF}, \text{ where } E = \text{co-unit}$$

$\Rightarrow \text{CR}_{Cn}(E_F)$ is an eq'l, but CR_{Cn} is conservative \Rightarrow

E_F is an eq'l.

So it suffices to show that η is an eq'l.

Sketch: Suffices to show $\forall \mathcal{I}$ that

$$(\Theta^* \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\Theta^n} \Theta^* \text{CR}_{Cn} L \mathcal{I} \cong \text{CR}_n L \mathcal{I}) \text{ is an eq'l.}$$

\mathcal{S} is stable \Rightarrow colimits commute wr f.lim limits \Rightarrow and
 CR_n is constructed out of finite limits \Rightarrow

$$\text{CR}_n(\varinjlim X_\beta) \cong \varinjlim \text{CR}_n(X_\beta) = \text{co-limits of}$$

$$\text{B}\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{X_\beta} \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\text{CR}_n} \text{Fun}_*(C^n, \mathcal{S})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\#} \text{CR}_n(\Theta^* \mathcal{I} \circ \Delta)$$

is \leftarrow Lemma 7.1.4.13

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \Theta^* \mathcal{I} \circ \tilde{\sigma}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}: C^n \rightarrow C^n$$

$$\cong \text{CR}_n(\varinjlim_{\mathbb{Z}} X_\beta) = \varinjlim_{\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma_n$$

$\Rightarrow \Theta^* \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Theta^* \text{CR}_{Cn} L \mathcal{I}$, and more carefully, one can show this map is $\Theta^* \eta$.

Extra Time: $C_1 \dots C_m$ have 1, \mathcal{D} ptd w/ fin limits

Let's show $\text{Red}: \text{Fun}_*(\prod_i C_i, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_*(\prod_i C_i, \mathcal{D})$

is right-adjoint to the inclusion. Let $S = \{1, \dots, m\}$

Let $G: C_1 \times \dots \times C_m \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be reduced.

Recall, $\text{Red}(F) \simeq \text{fib}(F = F^\phi \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\phi \neq T \subseteq S} F^T) \Rightarrow$

$\text{Hom}(G, \text{Red}(F)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(G, F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\phi \neq T \subseteq S} \text{Hom}(G, F^T)$

is a fiber sequence of spaces. WTS β 's are \emptyset .

Suffices to show that each $\text{Hom}(G, F^T)$ is contractible for $T \neq \emptyset$.

Fix $j \in T$, and let $E \xrightarrow[\ell]{} C_1 \times \dots \times C_m$ be s.t. $\hat{x} \in E \mapsto x_j = 1_j$.

Note: ℓ admits $\alpha(\cdot, \delta_j)$ as a right-adjoint \Rightarrow

$$F^T \simeq \text{Ran}_{\ell} \ell^* F^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, F^T) \simeq \text{Hom}(G, \text{Ran}_{\ell} \ell^* F^T) \simeq \text{Hom}(\ell^* G, \ell^* F^T).$$

But G is reduced $\Rightarrow \ell^* G$ is terminal & \therefore initial since

\mathcal{D} is pointed, $\therefore \text{Hom}(G, F^T) \simeq *$. \square