

On a nilpotence conjecture of J.P. May

Justin Noel
joint with Akhil Mathew and Niko Naumann

University of Regensburg

June 29, 2014

May's conjecture

Conjecture (May - 1986)

Suppose that R is a ring spectrum with power operations, i.e., an H_∞ -ring spectrum, and

$$x \in \ker(\pi_* R \rightarrow H_*(R; \mathbb{Z})).$$

Then x is nilpotent., i.e., $x^n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

- When $R = S \implies$ Nishida's nilpotence theorem.
- In contrast to the nilpotence theorem (Devinatz-Hopkins-Smith):
We do not need to know about $MU_* R$, but now require R to be H_∞ .

E_∞/H_∞ -rings

- An E_∞ -ring spectrum R has extended power maps:

$$\mu_n : E\Sigma_{n+} \wedge_{\Sigma_n} R^n \rightarrow R$$

- The $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are required to fit into some commuting diagrams.
- All of these diagrams are in Spectra.
- Analogous definition in $h\alpha(\text{Spectra})$ yields H_∞ -ring spectra.
- Obtain forgetful functor:

$$U : h\alpha(E_\infty\text{-Spectra}) \rightarrow H_\infty\text{-Spectra}.$$

- R is $E_\infty \simeq$ comm. S -algebra $\implies R$ is H_∞ .

Examples of E_∞/H_∞ -rings

Let X be a simply connected space of finite type.

k	Spaces	$E_\infty \text{Mod}_{Hk}$	$H_\infty \text{Mod}_{Hk} \subset k_* \text{v.s.}$
\mathbb{Q}	$X_{\mathbb{Q}}$	$H\mathbb{Q}^X \simeq C^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$	$H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$
$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$	X_p	$H\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^X \simeq C^*(X; \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$	$H^*(X; \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

- Sullivan theory says we can recover $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ from the E_∞ algebra $H\mathbb{Q}^X$.
- Only get $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \in \mathbb{Q}\text{-CAlg}$.
- Mandell's theory says we can recover X_p from the E_∞ -algebra $H\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^X$.
- Only get $H^*(X; \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ as an unstable algebra over Steenrod algebra.

Main result

Theorem (Mathew-Naumann-N.)

Suppose that R is an H_∞ -ring spectrum. Suppose that $x \in \pi_ R$ has nilpotent image in $H_*(R; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_*(R; \mathbb{F}_p)$ for \forall primes p . Then x is nilpotent.*

Corollary

May's conjecture: Suppose that R is an H_∞ -ring spectrum and

$$x \in \ker(\pi_* R \rightarrow H_*(R; \mathbb{Z})).$$

Then x is nilpotent.

Proof reduction

Theorem (Hopkins-Smith)

Suppose that R is a ring spectrum and that $x \in \pi_ R$ has nilpotent image in*

- 1 $H_*(R; \mathbb{Q})$
- 2 $H_*(R; \mathbb{F}_p)$ for \forall primes p
- 3 and $K(n)_*(R)$ for \forall primes p and $n > 0$.

Then x is nilpotent.

Theorem (Mathew-Naumann-N.)

If R is H_∞ then condition (1) imply condition (3) and therefore (1) and (2) imply the main theorem.

Proof of thm

- Since x has nilpotent image $H_*(R; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \pi_* R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $\exists m$ s.t. x^m is torsion.
- $y := x^m$ is nilpotent $\iff x$ is nilpotent.
- WTS that our torsion y has nilpotent image in $K(n)_* R$.
- Hurewicz map factors

$$\pi_* R \rightarrow \pi_* L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge R) \rightarrow K(n)_*(R).$$

- $\text{Im}(y) \in \pi_* L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge R)$ is nilpotent $\implies \text{Im}(y) \in K(n)_*(R)$ is nilpotent \implies the main theorem.

Proof of thm II

- $\pi_* L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge R)$ is p -local.
- Assume $y \in \pi_* L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge R)$ is p^m -torsion.
- We then show that $y^{p+1} \in \pi_* L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge R)$ is p^{m-1} -torsion.
- So $y^{(p+1)^m} = 0 \in \pi_* L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge R)$ and the theorem follows.
- Argument uses analogues of ψ/θ -operations and the formula:

$$y^p = \psi(y) + p\theta(y).$$

- This depends on work of Rezk and Strickland.

String manifolds

Recall that a *String* structure on a smooth manifold M is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & BString = BO\langle 8 \rangle \\
 & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\tau} BO(n) & \longrightarrow BO
 \end{array}$$

- Similar definitions for *Spin*-manifolds, *SO*-manifolds, ...
- $\rightsquigarrow E_\infty$ Thom spectra $MString, MSO, \dots$
- $\pi_* MString \cong \Omega_{String}^*$, $\pi_* MSpin \cong \Omega_{Spin}^* \dots$
- Forgetful maps:

$$MString \rightarrow MSpin \rightarrow MSO \rightarrow MO$$

String bordism

Theorem (Mathew-Naumann-N.)

For a *String manifold* M . The following are equivalent:

- 1 For $n \gg 0$, $M^{\times n}$ bounds a *String manifold*.
- 2 M bounds an oriented manifold.
- 3 The Stiefel-Whitney and Pontryagin numbers of M vanish.

- (2) \iff (3) is classical.
- Claim is equivalent to

$$\text{Nil}(\pi_* MString) = \ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow \pi_* MSO).$$

- We will show these are both equal to

$$\ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})).$$

$\text{Nil}(\pi_* MString)$

- May's conjecture \implies

$$\ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})) \subseteq \text{Nil}(\pi_* MString).$$

- Always have

$$\text{Im}(\text{Nil}(\pi_* MString)) \subseteq \text{Nil}(H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})).$$

- We will show

$$\text{Nil}(H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})) \subseteq \text{Nil}(H_*(MSO; \mathbb{Z})) = 0.$$

$$\implies \ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})) = \text{Nil}(\pi_* MString).$$

$H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})$

We will show:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z}) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & H_*(MSO; \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \\
 \pi_* MString & \longrightarrow & H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_* MSO & \hookrightarrow & H_*(MSO; \mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

$$\implies \ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow \pi_* MSO) = \ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})).$$

So want to analyze $H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})$.

Thom isomorphisms

- Have multiplicative isos $H_*(MG; k) \cong H_*(BG; k)$ for $G = SO, Spin, String$.
- So we need to analyze $H_*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ and show it is reduced (no nilpotents).
- $H_*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ is fin. gen. in each degree.
- \implies We can assemble this computation from

$$H_*(BG; \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \text{ and } H_*(BG; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

$H_*(BString; \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$

- Serre SS \implies

$$H_*(BString; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_*(BSpin; \mathbb{Q})$$

$$H_*(BString; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_*(BSpin; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_*(BSO; \mathbb{Q})$$

Moreover these are all polynomial algebras, so they are reduced.

- Have retraction

$$KO[1/2] \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathbb{C}} KU[1/2] \xrightarrow{U} KO[1/2].$$

- \implies a retraction

$$H_*(BO\langle k \rangle; \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \rightarrow H_*(BU\langle k \rangle; \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \rightarrow H_*(BO\langle k \rangle; \mathbb{Z}[1/2]).$$

- Work of Hovey-Ravenel \implies for $k \leq 8$, $H_*(BU\langle k \rangle; \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is torsion free

$H_*(BString; \mathbb{Z}_2)$

- Stong's calculations \Rightarrow

Stong's calculations \Rightarrow

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_*(BO; \mathbb{F}_2) & \longleftrightarrow & H_*(BSO; \mathbb{F}_2) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & H_*(BSpin; \mathbb{F}_2) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 H_*(BO; \mathbb{F}_2) & \longleftrightarrow & H_*(BSO; \mathbb{F}_2)
 \end{array}$$

$H_*(BString; \mathbb{Z})$

Proposition

$H_*(BString; \mathbb{Z}), H_*(BSpin; \mathbb{Z}), H_*(BSO; \mathbb{Z})$ have only simple 2-torsion.

$$H_*(BSO; \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H_*(BSO; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H_*(BSO; \mathbb{Q})$$

$$H_*(BSpin; \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H_*(BSpin; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H_*(BSpin; \mathbb{Q})$$

$\pi_* MString$

Lemma (Mathew-Naumann-N.)

To summarize there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_* MString & \rightarrow & H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & H_*(MString; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H_*(MString; \mathbb{Q}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_* MSpin & \longrightarrow & H_*(MSpin; \mathbb{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & H_*(MSpin; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H_*(MSpin; \mathbb{Q}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_* MSO & \hookrightarrow & H_*(MSO; \mathbb{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & H_*(MSO; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H_*(MSO; \mathbb{Q})
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (Mathew-Naumann-N.)

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow \pi_* MSO) &= \ker(\pi_* MString \rightarrow H_*(MString; \mathbb{Z})) \\
 &= \text{Nil}(\pi_* MString).
 \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

Further applications

- Have similar nilpotence results for *Spin*-manifolds, $U\langle 6 \rangle$ -manifolds, and *SU*-manifolds.
- Can deduce differentials in the Adams SS for connective E_∞ -ring spectra.
- Can show ring spectra such as $BP/(p^3v_n^2)$, $ku/(30\beta^5)$, and $tmf/4$ do not admit E_∞ ring structures.
- Can show 'half' of Quillen's F -isomorphism theorem for Lubin-Tate theories.
- We have since generalized this, with an independent argument, to general complex oriented cohomology theories for finite p -groups.

End

Thank you for your attention!